

Election 2014

Choose a Race

Type a Town Name

Governor

Ballot Questions

N.H. Senate

Ballot questions, 2 - Expand bottle bill, Mass.


✓ No 73.5%

1,555,858 votes

Yes 26.5%

561,635 votes

100% precincts reporting



Town by town results

City/town

✓ No

Yes

Pcts. reporting

Votes

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Abington</u> 5 of 5	86.2% 3,921	13.8% 630
<u>Acton</u> 6 of 6	58.5% 5,048	41.5% 3,580
<u>Acushnet</u> 3 of 3	81.6% 2,497	18.4% 563
<u>Adams</u> 5 of 5	50.4% 1,102	49.6% 1,084
<u>Agawam</u> 8 of 8	85.2% 8,682	14.8% 1,511
<u>Alford</u> 1 of 1	30.5% 62	69.5% 141
<u>Amesbury</u> 6 of 6	80.4% 4,712	19.6% 1,147
<u>Amherst</u> 10 of 10	30.8% 2,387	69.2% 5,367
<u>Andover</u> 11 of 11	76.6% 10,000	23.4% 3,047
<u>Aquinnah</u> 1 of 1	54.1% 106	45.9% 90
<u>Arlington</u> 21 of 21	55.5% 10,729	44.5% 8,588
<u>Ashburnham</u> 1 of 1	82.5% 1,793	17.5% 381
<u>Ashby</u> 1 of 1	80.4% 1,039	19.6% 253
<u>Ashfield</u> 1 of 1	43.4% 367	56.6% 479

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Ashland</u> 5 of 5	79.4% 4,482	20.6% 1,162
<u>Athol</u> 3 of 3	79.5% 2,647	20.5% 681
<u>Attleboro</u> 12 of 12	82.1% 9,853	17.9% 2,155
<u>Auburn</u> 5 of 5	87.6% 5,486	12.4% 779
<u>Avon</u> 1 of 1	84.3% 1,403	15.7% 261
<u>Ayer</u> 2 of 2	80.8% 1,990	19.2% 472
<u>Barnstable</u> 13 of 13	73.8% 13,613	26.2% 4,834
<u>Barre</u> 2 of 2	79.4% 1,538	20.6% 400
<u>Becket</u> 1 of 1	48.8% 284	51.2% 298
<u>Bedford</u> 4 of 4	70.0% 3,902	30.0% 1,674
<u>Belchertown</u> 4 of 4	70.0% 3,653	30.0% 1,567
<u>Bellingham</u> 6 of 6	89.1% 4,963	10.9% 609
<u>Belmont</u> 8 of 8	55.4% 5,618	44.6% 4,529
<u>Berkley</u> 2 of 2	81.3% 1,705	18.7% 393

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Berlin</u> 1 of 1	74.3% 1,030	25.7% 356
<u>Bernardston</u> 1 of 1	67.8% 534	32.2% 254
<u>Beverly</u> 12 of 12	75.0% 10,887	25.0% 3,638
<u>Billerica</u> 11 of 11	89.7% 12,673	10.3% 1,455
<u>Blackstone</u> 3 of 3	89.0% 2,496	11.0% 307
<u>Blandford</u> 1 of 1	76.8% 391	23.2% 118
<u>Bolton</u> 1 of 1	69.4% 1,584	30.6% 697
<u>Boston</u> 255 of 255	59.9% 89,114	40.1% 59,607
<u>Bourne</u> 7 of 7	79.0% 5,806	21.0% 1,543
<u>Boxborough</u> 1 of 1	66.1% 1,372	33.9% 703
<u>Boxford</u> 3 of 3	79.7% 2,917	20.3% 745
<u>Boylston</u> 1 of 1	81.7% 1,680	18.3% 377
<u>Braintree</u> 12 of 12	83.8% 11,752	16.2% 2,266
<u>Brewster</u> 3 of 3	68.6% 3,335	31.4% 1,529

City/town	✓ No	Yes
Pcts. reporting	Votes	
<u>Bridgewater</u>	81.4%	18.6%
7 of 7	6,661	1,525
<u>Brimfield</u>	81.0%	19.0%
1 of 1	1,159	272
<u>Brockton</u>	78.7%	21.3%
28 of 28	15,579	4,209
<u>Brookfield</u>	78.6%	21.4%
1 of 1	975	265
<u>Brookline</u>	44.9%	55.1%
16 of 16	8,172	10,046
<u>Buckland</u>	52.5%	47.5%
1 of 1	383	347
<u>Burlington</u>	84.8%	15.2%
7 of 7	7,816	1,403
<u>Cambridge</u>	37.6%	62.4%
34 of 34	11,662	19,360
<u>Canton</u>	82.0%	18.0%
6 of 6	7,096	1,557
<u>Carlisle</u>	56.0%	44.0%
1 of 1	1,403	1,104
<u>Carver</u>	83.7%	16.3%
3 of 3	3,374	658
<u>Charlemont</u>	59.7%	40.3%
1 of 1	259	175
<u>Charlton</u>	83.3%	16.7%
4 of 4	3,751	753
<u>Chatham</u>	69.6%	30.4%
1 of 1	2,458	1,076

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Chelmsford</u> 9 of 9	83.6% 11,694	16.4% 2,302
<u>Chelsea</u> 16 of 16	67.5% 3,129	32.5% 1,508
<u>Cheshire</u> 1 of 1	53.9% 536	46.1% 458
<u>Chester</u> 1 of 1	79.5% 368	20.5% 95
<u>Chesterfield</u> 1 of 1	55.9% 303	44.1% 239
<u>Chicopee</u> 20 of 20	83.7% 13,208	16.3% 2,581
<u>Chilmark</u> 1 of 1	44.3% 222	55.7% 279
<u>Clarksburg</u> 1 of 1	52.5% 293	47.5% 265
<u>Clinton</u> 4 of 4	80.5% 3,434	19.5% 834
<u>Cohasset</u> 2 of 2	68.8% 2,448	31.2% 1,108
<u>Colrain</u> 1 of 1	51.7% 358	48.3% 335
<u>Concord</u> 5 of 5	49.0% 3,971	51.0% 4,128
<u>Conway</u> 1 of 1	46.4% 438	53.6% 506
<u>Cummington</u> 1 of 1	43.6% 182	56.4% 235

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Dalton</u> 2 of 2	46.9% 949	53.1% 1,074
<u>Danvers</u> 8 of 8	85.2% 8,552	14.8% 1,490
<u>Dartmouth</u> 9 of 9	78.3% 7,217	21.7% 2,005
<u>Dedham</u> 7 of 7	78.0% 7,548	22.0% 2,129
<u>Deerfield</u> 1 of 1	59.4% 1,325	40.6% 906
<u>Dennis</u> 5 of 5	75.6% 5,239	24.4% 1,692
<u>Dighton</u> 2 of 2	81.7% 1,903	18.3% 425
<u>Douglas</u> 3 of 3	86.0% 2,593	14.0% 421
<u>Dover</u> 1 of 1	64.3% 1,716	35.7% 954
<u>Dracut</u> 10 of 10	91.3% 9,518	8.7% 908
<u>Dudley</u> 3 of 3	84.4% 2,956	15.6% 545
<u>Dunstable</u> 1 of 1	81.8% 1,131	18.2% 251
<u>Duxbury</u> 6 of 6	73.6% 5,055	26.4% 1,811
<u>East Bridgewater</u> 4 of 4	63.6% 3,044	36.4% 1,742

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>East Brookfield</u> 1 of 1	88.1% 758	11.9% 102
<u>East Longmeadow</u> 4 of 4	84.7% 5,325	15.3% 963
<u>Eastham</u> 1 of 1	64.3% 1,798	35.7% 999
<u>Easthampton</u> 5 of 5	63.4% 3,930	36.6% 2,271
<u>Easton</u> 6 of 6	81.4% 6,639	18.6% 1,516
<u>Edgartown</u> 1 of 1	65.5% 1,092	34.5% 576
<u>Egremont</u> 1 of 1	40.7% 246	59.3% 359
<u>Erving</u> 1 of 1	73.0% 376	27.0% 139
<u>Essex</u> 1 of 1	70.5% 1,133	29.5% 473
<u>Everett</u> 12 of 12	83.0% 7,435	17.0% 1,521
<u>Fairhaven</u> 6 of 6	77.4% 3,956	22.6% 1,156
<u>Fall River</u> 29 of 29	76.6% 12,211	23.4% 3,729
<u>Falmouth</u> 9 of 9	69.9% 9,855	30.1% 4,241
<u>Fitchburg</u> 12 of 12	84.6% 7,416	15.4% 1,353

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Florida</u> 1 of 1	51.7% 121	48.3% 113
<u>Foxborough</u> 5 of 5	82.8% 5,763	17.2% 1,201
<u>Framingham</u> 18 of 18	75.4% 14,014	24.6% 4,582
<u>Franklin</u> 8 of 8	84.8% 10,305	15.2% 1,843
<u>Freetown</u> 3 of 3	83.9% 2,415	16.1% 465
<u>Gardner</u> 10 of 10	85.7% 4,805	14.3% 803
<u>Georgetown</u> 3 of 3	80.9% 2,730	19.1% 646
<u>Gill</u> 1 of 1	65.4% 419	34.6% 222
<u>Gloucester</u> 10 of 10	74.1% 8,076	25.9% 2,824
<u>Goshen</u> 1 of 1	58.8% 263	41.2% 184
<u>Gosnold</u> 1 of 1	60.0% 27	40.0% 18
<u>Grafton</u> 5 of 5	83.2% 5,320	16.8% 1,077
<u>Granby</u> 2 of 2	76.9% 1,934	23.1% 581
<u>Granville</u> 1 of 1	75.9% 517	24.1% 164

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Great Barrington</u> 4 of 4	45.3% 1,232	54.7% 1,488
<u>Greenfield</u> 9 of 9	58.8% 3,098	41.2% 2,174
<u>Groton</u> 3 of 3	70.4% 3,064	29.6% 1,289
<u>Groveland</u> 2 of 2	85.9% 2,439	14.1% 401
<u>Hadley</u> 1 of 1	57.7% 1,260	42.3% 922
<u>Halifax</u> 2 of 2	85.6% 2,457	14.4% 412
<u>Hamilton</u> 3 of 3	75.4% 2,450	24.6% 798
<u>Hampden</u> 1 of 1	80.7% 1,762	19.3% 422
<u>Hancock</u> 1 of 1	45.7% 106	54.3% 126
<u>Hanover</u> 4 of 4	83.4% 5,128	16.6% 1,019
<u>Hanson</u> 3 of 3	83.1% 3,411	16.9% 696
<u>Hardwick</u> 1 of 1	76.5% 771	23.5% 237
<u>Harvard</u> 1 of 1	57.3% 1,520	42.7% 1,135
<u>Harwich</u> 4 of 4	70.9% 4,242	29.1% 1,738

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Hatfield</u> 1 of 1	64.1% 943	35.9% 527
<u>Haverhill</u> 21 of 21	85.6% 15,726	14.4% 2,646
<u>Hawley</u> 1 of 1	62.1% 90	37.9% 55
<u>Heath</u> 1 of 1	52.8% 161	47.2% 144
<u>Hingham</u> 7 of 7	69.1% 7,080	30.9% 3,161
<u>Hinsdale</u> 1 of 1	44.4% 295	55.6% 369
<u>Holbrook</u> 4 of 4	83.8% 3,562	16.2% 688
<u>Holden</u> 5 of 5	83.5% 6,618	16.5% 1,312
<u>Holland</u> 1 of 1	77.5% 689	22.5% 200
<u>Holliston</u> 4 of 4	76.2% 4,610	23.8% 1,441
<u>Holyoke</u> 14 of 14	69.2% 6,887	30.8% 3,065
<u>Hopedale</u> 1 of 1	85.3% 1,993	14.7% 344
<u>Hopkinton</u> 4 of 4	79.1% 4,916	20.9% 1,298
<u>Hubbardston</u> 1 of 1	83.4% 1,558	16.6% 311

City/town	✓ No	Yes
Pcts. reporting	Votes	
<u>Hudson</u>	81.9%	18.1%
7 of 7	5,741	1,272
<u>Hull</u>	69.3%	30.7%
3 of 3	2,951	1,310
<u>Huntington</u>	73.7%	26.3%
1 of 1	445	159
<u>Ipswich</u>	75.3%	24.7%
4 of 4	4,559	1,499
<u>Kingston</u>	80.4%	19.6%
4 of 4	3,998	976
<u>Lakeville</u>	84.6%	15.4%
3 of 3	3,498	639
<u>Lancaster</u>	79.2%	20.8%
2 of 2	2,230	586
<u>Lanesborough</u>	41.9%	58.1%
1 of 1	389	540
<u>Lawrence</u>	70.9%	29.1%
24 of 24	8,383	3,443
<u>Lee</u>	54.8%	45.2%
1 of 1	973	801
<u>Leicester</u>	80.6%	19.4%
4 of 4	3,220	777
<u>Lenox</u>	43.9%	56.1%
1 of 1	873	1,116
<u>Leominster</u>	86.2%	13.8%
15 of 15	10,652	1,709
<u>Leverett</u>	30.5%	69.5%
1 of 1	281	640

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Lexington</u> 9 of 9	39.1% 5,035	60.9% 7,840
<u>Leyden</u> 1 of 1	55.1% 204	44.9% 166
<u>Lincoln</u> 2 of 2	41.2% 1,119	58.8% 1,599
<u>Littleton</u> 3 of 3	72.5% 2,936	27.5% 1,115
<u>Longmeadow</u> 5 of 5	77.2% 5,592	22.8% 1,656
<u>Lowell</u> 33 of 33	80.6% 15,782	19.4% 3,800
<u>Ludlow</u> 6 of 6	84.7% 5,534	15.3% 1,000
<u>Lunenburg</u> 4 of 4	82.6% 3,481	17.4% 732
<u>Lynn</u> 28 of 28	77.3% 15,210	22.7% 4,466
<u>Lynnfield</u> 4 of 4	84.0% 4,454	16.0% 846
<u>Malden</u> 16 of 16	75.8% 10,327	24.2% 3,298
<u>Manchester</u> 1 of 1	71.4% 1,878	28.6% 754
<u>Mansfield</u> 6 of 6	82.1% 6,690	17.9% 1,461
<u>Marblehead</u> 6 of 6	71.5% 6,889	28.5% 2,746

City/town
Pcts. reporting

✓ No
Votes

Yes

Marion

1 of 1

73.7%

1,676

26.3%

598

Marlborough

14 of 14

82.9%

8,870

17.1%

1,835

Marshfield

7 of 7

82.0%

9,024

18.0%

1,984

Mashpee

5 of 5

78.3%

4,665

21.7%

1,295

Mattapoissett

1 of 1

78.1%

2,272

21.9%

636

Maynard

4 of 4

68.9%

2,935

31.1%

1,322

Medfield

4 of 4

73.8%

4,017

26.2%

1,424

Medford

16 of 16

71.6%

13,551

28.4%

5,364

Medway

4 of 4

83.2%

4,312

16.8%

872

Melrose

14 of 14

72.4%

8,396

27.6%

3,196

Mendon

1 of 1

84.6%

2,087

15.4%

379

Merrimac

2 of 2

82.6%

2,162

17.4%

457

Methuen

12 of 12

87.7%

12,341

12.3%

1,732

Middleborough

6 of 6

83.1%

6,606

16.9%

1,341

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Middlefield</u> 1 of 1	58.5% 127	41.5% 90
<u>Middleton</u> 2 of 2	84.0% 2,839	16.0% 541
<u>Milford</u> 8 of 8	85.7% 7,618	14.3% 1,271
<u>Millbury</u> 4 of 4	86.0% 3,053	14.0% 498
<u>Millis</u> 3 of 3	79.4% 2,776	20.6% 720
<u>Millville</u> 1 of 1	88.6% 912	11.4% 117
<u>Milton</u> 10 of 10	68.9% 7,936	31.1% 3,584
<u>Monroe</u> 1 of 1	68.6% 24	31.4% 11
<u>Monson</u> 3 of 3	79.3% 2,336	20.7% 608
<u>Montague</u> 6 of 6	62.9% 1,882	37.1% 1,112
<u>Monterey</u> 1 of 1	34.2% 122	65.8% 235
<u>Montgomery</u> 1 of 1	81.3% 317	18.7% 73
<u>Mount Washington</u> 1 of 1	40.8% 29	59.2% 42
<u>Nahant</u> 1 of 1	74.2% 1,370	25.8% 476

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Nantucket</u> 1 of 1	64.1% 2,337	35.9% 1,308
<u>Natick</u> 10 of 10	72.4% 9,769	27.6% 3,716
<u>Needham</u> 10 of 10	62.4% 8,211	37.6% 4,955
<u>New Ashford</u> 1 of 1	40.2% 43	59.8% 64
<u>New Bedford</u> 36 of 36	74.0% 12,580	26.0% 4,416
<u>New Braintree</u> 1 of 1	78.7% 343	21.3% 93
<u>New Marlborough</u> 1 of 1	49.2% 267	50.8% 276
<u>New Salem</u> 1 of 1	56.2% 257	43.8% 200
<u>Newbury</u> 2 of 2	77.3% 2,576	22.7% 755
<u>Newburyport</u> 7 of 7	73.0% 5,914	27.0% 2,186
<u>Newton</u> 32 of 32	54.5% 17,435	45.5% 14,528
<u>Norfolk</u> 3 of 3	79.5% 3,358	20.5% 864
<u>North Adams</u> 5 of 5	49.3% 1,608	50.7% 1,654
<u>North Andover</u> 8 of 8	83.3% 8,721	16.7% 1,751

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>North Attleborough</u> 9 of 9	84.7% 7,820	15.3% 1,410
<u>North Brookfield</u> 1 of 1	81.9% 1,445	18.1% 320
<u>North Reading</u> 4 of 4	84.2% 5,244	15.8% 984
<u>Northampton</u> 14 of 14	37.7% 4,332	62.3% 7,173
<u>Northborough</u> 4 of 4	79.9% 4,938	20.1% 1,243
<u>Northbridge</u> 4 of 4	84.3% 4,505	15.7% 842
<u>Northfield</u> 1 of 1	60.9% 703	39.1% 452
<u>Norton</u> 5 of 5	82.5% 4,957	17.5% 1,050
<u>Norwell</u> 3 of 3	79.0% 3,756	21.0% 996
<u>Norwood</u> 9 of 9	81.8% 8,503	18.2% 1,896
<u>Oak Bluffs</u> 1 of 1	64.5% 1,136	35.5% 626
<u>Oakham</u> 1 of 1	78.9% 658	21.1% 176
<u>Orange</u> 2 of 2	74.7% 1,721	25.3% 584
<u>Orleans</u> 1 of 1	62.5% 2,134	37.5% 1,279

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Otis</u> 1 of 1	62.8% 362	37.2% 214
<u>Oxford</u> 4 of 4	86.0% 3,832	14.0% 622
<u>Palmer</u> 5 of 5	80.8% 3,296	19.2% 785
<u>Paxton</u> 1 of 1	83.1% 1,555	16.9% 317
<u>Peabody</u> 19 of 19	85.4% 16,285	14.6% 2,789
<u>Pelham</u> 1 of 1	32.6% 218	67.4% 450
<u>Pembroke</u> 5 of 5	85.4% 6,149	14.6% 1,048
<u>Pepperell</u> 3 of 3	81.6% 3,792	18.4% 855
<u>Peru</u> 1 of 1	48.4% 137	51.6% 146
<u>Petersham</u> 1 of 1	64.9% 413	35.1% 223
<u>Phillipston</u> 1 of 1	81.4% 555	18.6% 127
<u>Pittsfield</u> 14 of 14	49.6% 5,524	50.4% 5,609
<u>Plainfield</u> 1 of 1	40.6% 130	59.4% 190
<u>Plainville</u> 3 of 3	85.3% 2,836	14.7% 488

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Plymouth</u> 15 of 15	80.4% 17,119	19.6% 4,166
<u>Plympton</u> 1 of 1	77.5% 991	22.5% 288
<u>Princeton</u> 1 of 1	72.6% 1,268	27.4% 478
<u>Provincetown</u> 1 of 1	52.4% 844	47.6% 767
<u>Quincy</u> 30 of 30	75.8% 19,469	24.2% 6,227
<u>Randolph</u> 12 of 12	78.8% 6,660	21.2% 1,793
<u>Raynham</u> 6 of 6	83.7% 4,027	16.3% 783
<u>Reading</u> 8 of 8	80.1% 8,542	19.9% 2,123
<u>Rehoboth</u> 3 of 3	77.8% 2,927	22.2% 833
<u>Revere</u> 21 of 21	81.9% 9,118	18.1% 2,012
<u>Richmond</u> 1 of 1	42.0% 269	58.0% 371
<u>Rochester</u> 1 of 1	80.8% 1,785	19.2% 423
<u>Rockland</u> 6 of 6	85.4% 5,162	14.6% 881
<u>Rockport</u> 3 of 3	67.2% 2,440	32.8% 1,191

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Rowe</u> 1 of 1	61.3% 92	38.7% 58
<u>Rowley</u> 1 of 1	83.3% 2,231	16.7% 446
<u>Royalston</u> 2 of 2	72.5% 383	27.5% 145
<u>Russell</u> 1 of 1	80.0% 443	20.0% 111
<u>Rutland</u> 3 of 3	86.7% 2,806	13.3% 431
<u>Salem</u> 14 of 14	69.2% 9,061	30.8% 4,035
<u>Salisbury</u> 3 of 3	84.6% 2,532	15.4% 462
<u>Sandisfield</u> 1 of 1	49.6% 129	50.4% 131
<u>Sandwich</u> 6 of 6	77.9% 7,034	22.1% 1,990
<u>Saugus</u> 10 of 10	88.5% 8,797	11.5% 1,139
<u>Savoy</u> 1 of 1	45.5% 97	54.5% 116
<u>Scituate</u> 6 of 6	73.1% 6,118	26.9% 2,247
<u>Seekonk</u> 4 of 4	82.5% 3,442	17.5% 730
<u>Sharon</u> 5 of 5	68.3% 4,793	31.7% 2,229

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Sheffield</u> 1 of 1	51.0% 573	49.0% 550
<u>Shelburne</u> 1 of 1	49.4% 381	50.6% 391
<u>Sherborn</u> 1 of 1	56.6% 1,131	43.4% 866
<u>Shirley</u> 1 of 1	82.5% 1,858	17.5% 393
<u>Shrewsbury</u> 10 of 10	81.9% 10,901	18.1% 2,408
<u>Shutesbury</u> 1 of 1	37.9% 332	62.1% 543
<u>Somerset</u> 5 of 5	81.3% 4,925	18.7% 1,135
<u>Somerville</u> 21 of 21	45.8% 10,062	54.2% 11,929
<u>South Hadley</u> 5 of 5	74.6% 4,923	25.4% 1,679
<u>Southampton</u> 1 of 1	75.0% 2,012	25.0% 670
<u>Southborough</u> 3 of 3	74.8% 3,134	25.2% 1,056
<u>Southbridge</u> 5 of 5	81.4% 3,023	18.6% 692
<u>Southwick</u> 3 of 3	83.0% 2,934	17.0% 602
<u>Spencer</u> 4 of 4	85.8% 3,402	14.2% 561

City/town	✓ No	Yes
Pcts. reporting	Votes	
<u>Springfield</u>	74.7%	25.3%
64 of 64	24,340	8,228
<u>Sterling</u>	82.5%	17.5%
2 of 2	2,975	632
<u>Stockbridge</u>	42.3%	57.7%
1 of 1	371	507
<u>Stoneham</u>	85.5%	14.5%
7 of 7	7,754	1,320
<u>Stoughton</u>	82.0%	18.0%
8 of 8	7,574	1,661
<u>Stow</u>	66.1%	33.9%
2 of 2	2,112	1,081
<u>Sturbridge</u>	78.2%	21.8%
3 of 3	2,834	788
<u>Sudbury</u>	66.2%	33.8%
6 of 6	4,894	2,501
<u>Sunderland</u>	56.8%	43.2%
1 of 1	694	528
<u>Sutton</u>	84.8%	15.2%
3 of 3	3,275	585
<u>Swampscott</u>	76.3%	23.7%
6 of 6	5,007	1,551
<u>Swansea</u>	84.3%	15.7%
5 of 5	3,765	699
<u>Taunton</u>	81.9%	18.1%
16 of 16	11,846	2,616
<u>Templeton</u>	86.8%	13.2%
3 of 3	2,343	355

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Tewksbury</u> 8 of 8	90.9% 10,266	9.1% 1,028
<u>Tisbury</u> 1 of 1	63.4% 1,023	36.6% 590
<u>Tolland</u> 1 of 1	73.1% 144	26.9% 53
<u>Topsfield</u> 1 of 1	76.7% 2,386	23.3% 725
<u>Townsend</u> 3 of 3	85.7% 2,820	14.3% 472
<u>Truro</u> 1 of 1	62.0% 680	38.0% 416
<u>Tyngsborough</u> 4 of 4	88.2% 3,945	11.8% 527
<u>Tyringham</u> 1 of 1	44.4% 84	55.6% 105
<u>Upton</u> 2 of 2	81.6% 2,389	18.4% 538
<u>Uxbridge</u> 4 of 4	85.9% 4,230	14.1% 693
<u>Wakefield</u> 7 of 7	83.2% 8,854	16.8% 1,794
<u>Wales</u> 1 of 1	78.8% 529	21.2% 142
<u>Walpole</u> 8 of 8	82.4% 8,801	17.6% 1,882
<u>Waltham</u> 18 of 18	72.1% 11,723	27.9% 4,526

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Ware</u> 3 of 3	81.0% 2,418	19.0% 566
<u>Wareham</u> 6 of 6	78.3% 5,531	21.7% 1,531
<u>Warren</u> 2 of 2	81.2% 1,270	18.8% 294
<u>Warwick</u> 1 of 1	60.7% 213	39.3% 138
<u>Washington</u> 1 of 1	44.6% 107	55.4% 133
<u>Watertown</u> 12 of 12	60.7% 6,931	39.3% 4,479
<u>Wayland</u> 4 of 4	59.3% 3,440	40.7% 2,364
<u>Webster</u> 5 of 5	84.6% 3,709	15.4% 673
<u>Wellesley</u> 8 of 8	59.4% 6,418	40.6% 4,390
<u>Wellfleet</u> 1 of 1	56.8% 932	43.2% 710
<u>Wendell</u> 1 of 1	35.4% 146	64.6% 267
<u>Wenham</u> 1 of 1	72.7% 1,218	27.3% 457
<u>West Boylston</u> 2 of 2	81.7% 2,553	18.3% 570
<u>West Bridgewater</u> 2 of 2	82.0% 2,305	18.0% 507

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>West Brookfield</u> 1 of 1	81.3% 1,155	18.7% 266
<u>West Newbury</u> 1 of 1	75.4% 1,610	24.6% 525
<u>West Springfield</u> 8 of 8	83.6% 6,989	16.4% 1,370
<u>West Stockbridge</u> 1 of 1	36.8% 237	63.2% 407
<u>West Tisbury</u> 1 of 1	52.2% 725	47.8% 665
<u>Westborough</u> 5 of 5	73.5% 4,644	26.5% 1,676
<u>Westfield</u> 12 of 12	81.3% 10,223	18.7% 2,357
<u>Westford</u> 6 of 6	80.8% 7,371	19.2% 1,757
<u>Westhampton</u> 1 of 1	62.4% 512	37.6% 309
<u>Westminster</u> 2 of 2	87.1% 2,664	12.9% 394
<u>Weston</u> 4 of 4	62.0% 2,874	38.0% 1,765
<u>Westport</u> 5 of 5	72.7% 3,888	27.3% 1,462
<u>Westwood</u> 4 of 4	76.5% 5,149	23.5% 1,584
<u>Weymouth</u> 18 of 18	84.0% 16,815	16.0% 3,212

City/town Pcts. reporting	✓ No Votes	Yes
<u>Whately</u> 1 of 1	60.8% 451	39.2% 291
<u>Whitman</u> 4 of 4	86.7% 4,431	13.3% 682
<u>Wilbraham</u> 4 of 4	80.6% 4,859	19.4% 1,173
<u>Williamsburg</u> 1 of 1	43.9% 542	56.1% 694
<u>Williamstown</u> 3 of 3	28.4% 703	71.6% 1,776
<u>Wilmington</u> 6 of 6	89.5% 7,972	10.5% 937
<u>Winchendon</u> 4 of 4	85.9% 2,347	14.1% 386
<u>Winchester</u> 8 of 8	67.0% 6,440	33.0% 3,168
<u>Windsor</u> 1 of 1	43.3% 140	56.7% 183
<u>Winthrop</u> 6 of 6	79.9% 5,118	20.1% 1,291
<u>Woburn</u> 14 of 14	85.2% 11,633	14.8% 2,018
<u>Worcester</u> 50 of 50	75.9% 27,703	24.1% 8,776
<u>Worthington</u> 1 of 1	51.2% 300	48.8% 286
<u>Wrentham</u> 3 of 3	84.5% 3,869	15.5% 707

City/town
Pcts. reporting

✓ **No**
Votes

Yes

Yarmouth

73.8%

26.2%

7 of 7

7,363

2,611

DATA: AP

GABRIEL FLORIT, ELAINA NATARIO / GLOBE STAFF

© 2014 Boston Globe Media Partners, LLC



 NO ON
 QUESTION 2
 COMPREHENSIVE RECYCLING WORKS

- 7-Eleven
- ABC Disposal Services, Inc.
- Acosta Sales & Marketing Co.
- AJ Letizio Sales & Marketing, Inc.
- Al's Package Store
- AP Marcorelle Co.
- Associated Industries of Massachusetts
- Atlas Distributing, Inc.
- B&C Engineering
- Beaugard Liquors
- Berkshire Brewing Company
- Bernier's Store
- Big Bunny Market
- Big Y Foods, Inc.
- Blackstone Valley Chamber of Commerce
- Bogie's Beer and Wine
- Brady Enterprises
- Burke Distributing Corp.
- Busa Bros. Liquors, Inc.
- Busa Wine & Spirits of Westford, Inc.
- Busa's Reading Liquors, Inc.
- Butcher Boy Market
- C&S Wholesale Grocers
- Café Manzi's
- Cambridge Chamber of Commerce
- Casella Waste Systems, Inc.
- Central Plaza Liquors
- Chelsea Chamber of Commerce
- Chex Finer Foods
- Coca-Cola Bottling Company of Northern New England – Lowell, Sandwich
- Coca-Cola Refreshments USA, Inc. – Greenfield, Needham, Northampton, Pittsfield, Waltham, Westborough
- Colella's Supermarket
- Colonial Wholesale Beverage
- Commercial Distributing Co.
- Community Package Store
- Concord Foods, Inc.
- Coral Seafood
- Crosby's Markets, Inc.
- Cumberland Farms, Inc.
- Donelan's Supermarkets
- Durkee-Mower, Inc.
- El Basha
- E.L. Harvey & Sons, Inc.
- Essex Wine & Spirits
- Everett Chamber of Commerce
- Flying Rhino Cafe
- Foster's Supermarket, Inc.
- Garelick Farms, LLC
- Garret Shetravski, State Representative Candidate
- Geissler's Supermarket
- Gold Medal Bakery
- Greater Gardner Chamber of Commerce
- Hamilton House of Pizza
- Harrigan's Cork & Cask
- Harrigan's Liquor Store
- Harrigan's of Manchester
- Henry's Market
- Horizon Beverage Co.
- HP Hood, LLC
- Imperial Distributors, Inc.
- Integrated Paper Recyclers, LLC.
- International Bottled Water Association
- Ipswich Bottle Shop
- Jasper Wyman & Son
- K & 8th Street Market
- Kappy's Fine Wine & Spirits, Chicopee, Danvers, East Boston, Falmouth, Fitchburg, Medford, Peabody, Springfield, Malden (Commercial St.), Malden (Main St., Route 1)
- Keith Saxon, Chairman of the Andover Recycling Committee
- Kenmore Diner
- Kenichi
- L. Knife & Son
- Lee's Market
- Lisa Yarid Ferry, Methuen Councilwoman
- Lombardo Companies



NO ON
QUESTION 2
COMPREHENSIVE RECYCLING WORKS

- Lowe Mart Liquors
- Macintosh Contractors
- Madison Food Corp.
- Madison Food Corp. of Washington Park
- Mark Hawke, Mayor of Gardner
- Market Square Beverage Co., Inc.
- Massachusetts Beverage Association
- Massachusetts Fiscal Alliance
- Massachusetts Food Association
- McMac Spirits, Inc.
- McMackin Corp.
- Merrimack Valley Distributing Co., Inc.
- Metro South Chamber of Commerce
- Meze Greek Tapas
- National Federation of Independent Business
- National Process Systems
- National Waste & Recycling Association
- Nestlé Waters North America
- New England Convenience Store Association
- New Bridge Variety Deli
- Northeast Bottled Water Association
- Page's Grocery & Liquors, Inc.
- Park 'n Shop Supermarkets
- Parkway Restaurant
- Peabody Area Chamber of Commerce
- Pemberton Farms
- Picadilly's Bar & Grill
- Piccolo
- Quality Beverage Limited Partnership
- Ralph's Tavern
- Republic Services
- Retailers Association of Massachusetts
- RMG Enterprise, Inc.
- Robert Durand, Former Secretary of Environmental Affairs
- Robert Moylan, Retired Commissioner of Public Works, Worcester.
- Roche Bros. Supermarkets
- Route 1 Liquors
- Rowley Liquors
- Sandwich Chamber of Commerce
- Save That Stuff, Inc.
- Scorz Sports Bar
- Seaboard Products
- Senator Michael Moore
- Shawsheen Village Liquors
- Skip's Country Store
- Snyder's-Lance
- State Road Liquor Mart, Inc.
- Stop & Compare Supermarket
- Stop & Shop Supermarket - New England
- Subway, Worcester
- Sweet Kitchen & Bar
- Tilly & Salvy's Bacon Street Farm
- Town's Variety & Liquors
- Tropical Foods
- Trucchi Supermarkets
- Tweed's Pub Restaurant
- U Save Foods, Inc.
- Uncle Sam's Pizza
- Utz Quality Foods, Inc.
- Victory Bar & Cigar
- Vintage Grille
- Wachusett Area Chamber of Commerce
- Waxler Hospitality
- Wegman's Food Markets, Inc.
- Wenham Tea House
- Williams Distributing
- Williams Printing
- Winthrop Market Place
- Worcester Discount Liquors

THE BOTTLE BILL IS AN OLD IDEA.

In 1983, Massachusetts introduced a 5¢ deposit on soda and beer cans and bottles. Now, Question 2 would expand that deposit to include tea, juice, sports drinks, and water. While forced deposits may have been needed 30 years ago, today more than 90% of Commonwealth residents have access to curbside and other community recycling programs. In 2014, these are the recycling methods most Massachusetts residents prefer.

QUESTION 2 IS EXPENSIVE.

We already pay for curbside recycling. Per ton, forced deposit systems are three times more expensive to operate than curbside programs. Question 2 would expand those forced deposits, costing almost \$60 million a year to collect and handle the new containers. On top of that, every five years the deposit amount we pay would automatically increase.

QUESTION 2 IS NOT ALL IT'S CRACKED UP TO BE.

Beginning in the late 1980s, the bottle bill included an environmental fund for unclaimed deposits. The legislature saw an opportunity and raided the fund. Now instead of helping the environment, unclaimed deposits go directly to the state's general fund. The same could be true under Question 2.

MORE GOOD REASONS TO VOTE NO ON QUESTION 2:

- Because **deposit systems are so inconvenient**, many Massachusetts residents recycle their bottles and cans at the curb, rather than deal with the hassle.
- The expanded bottle bill will **impose a higher handling fee**, increasing prices on soda, juices, sports drinks, some teas, water, and beer.
- Expanding the bottle bill would **increase the recycling rate by less than 1%**, while costing tens of millions of dollars.
- In addition to levying a 5¢ deposit on more items, Question 2 comes with a provision that increases the deposit on products every five years without a vote by the people. That means **expanding the law will cost much more in the years to come**.

JOIN US AT: ComprehensiveRecyclingWorks.com



JOIN THE COALITION

COMPREHENSIVE RECYCLING WORKS is a coalition of citizens, businesses, and community organizations. We have come together to take a stand against Question 2's expansion of forced deposits because they are outdated, expensive, and inconvenient. We support improvements to existing recycling infrastructure and better access to recycling in more places.

JOIN US AND RAISE YOUR VOICE FOR COMMON SENSE RECYCLING SOLUTIONS.

By signing this form, you confirm that you are authorized to provide permission on behalf of your association, company, or organization to join our coalition. You are granting COMPREHENSIVE RECYCLING WORKS the right to use the name of your association, company, or organization as a coalition member on public materials such as collateral, websites (including Facebook and Twitter), letterheads, press releases, advertisements, direct mail and fact sheets.

Please complete all form fields below to authorize your company/organization to become a coalition member. Return completed form to info@ComprehensiveRecyclingWorks.com or fax it to 617-904-1906.

Name of Organization

Number of Members

Contact Name and Title

Address

City

Zip

Email

Twitter Handle

Phone

Signature

I AM INTERESTED IN THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES:

- Displaying coalition materials in my business/store
- Participating in a community event Writing a letter to the editor/op-ed

JOIN US AT: ComprehensiveRecyclingWorks.com





JOIN THE COALITION

COMPREHENSIVE RECYCLING WORKS is a coalition of citizens, businesses, and community organizations. We have come together to take a stand against Question 2's expansion of forced deposits because they are outdated, expensive, and inconvenient. We support improvements to existing recycling infrastructure and better access to recycling in more places.

JOIN US AND RAISE YOUR VOICE FOR COMMON SENSE RECYCLING SOLUTIONS.

By signing this form, you confirm that you are authorized to provide permission on behalf of your association, company, or organization to join our coalition. You are granting COMPREHENSIVE RECYCLING WORKS the right to use the name of your association, company, or organization as a coalition member on public materials such as collateral, websites (including Facebook and Twitter), letterheads, press releases, advertisements, direct mail and fact sheets.

Please complete all form fields below to authorize your company/organization to become a coalition member. Return completed form to info@ComprehensiveRecyclingWorks.com or fax it to 617-904-1906.

Name of Business

Number of Employees

Contact Name and Title

Address

City

Zip

Email

Twitter Handle

Phone

Signature

I AM INTERESTED IN THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES:

- Displaying coalition materials in my business/store Writing a letter to the editor/op-ed
 Participating in a community event





JOIN US AND RAISE YOUR VOICE FOR COMMON SENSE RECYCLING SOLUTIONS.

COMPREHENSIVE RECYCLING WORKS is a coalition of citizens, businesses, and community organizations. We have come together to take a stand against Question 2's expansion of forced deposits because they are outdated, expensive, and inconvenient. We support improvements to existing recycling infrastructure and better access to recycling in more places.

Yes! You may list me as a member of COMPREHENSIVE RECYCLING WORKS. Forced deposits are an old idea and we should not be wasting taxpayer dollars expanding them.

Please complete all form fields below. Return completed form to info@ComprehensiveRecyclingWorks.com or fax it to 617-904-1906.

First Name (Print)	Last Name (Print)	
Address	City	Zip
Email	Twitter Handle	
Signature	Phone	

I AM INTERESTED IN THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES:

- Writing a letter to the editor/op-ed Participating in a community event

First Name (Print)	Last Name (Print)	
Address	City	Zip
Email	Twitter Handle	
Signature	Phone	

I AM INTERESTED IN THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES:

- Writing a letter to the editor/op-ed Participating in a community event



